

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/683,955	Applicant(s) ASTRACHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Aung S. Moe	Art Unit 2685	

All Participants:

(1) Aung S. Moe.

(2) Timothy W. Markison (Reg. No. 33,534).

Date of Interview: 3/14/06

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: After Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:30AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
Claim 11.

Prior art documents discussed:
None.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
the dependece of claim 11 has been corrected as to depend on claim 10.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


AUNG MOE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)